

Norman H. Bangerter, Governor Dee C. Hansen, Executive Director Dianne R. Nielson, Ph.D., Division Director

355 W. North Temple • 3 Triad Center • Suite 350 • Salt Lake City, UT 84180-1203 • 801-538-5340

May 5, 1988

Mr. Charles Gent Genwal Coal Company P O Box 1201 Huntington, Utah 84501

Dear Mr. Gent:

On May 3, 1988, the Division mailed you copies of the Finalized Assessments for N88-16-1-1 - N88-16-2-1. There was an error made on the assessment date; it should read April 13, 1988 instead of April 12, 1988. Attached please find corrected copies of the assessments.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Elorreaga

Secretary for Inspection and Enforcement

## WORKSHEET FOR FINAL ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES UTAH DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

	COMPANY/MINE Genwal Coal Company/Crandall Canyon Mine NOV # 88-16-1-1							
	PERM	IT # <u>ACT/015/032</u>	VIOLATION _1	OF1_				
	Assessment Date <u>4-13-88</u> Assessment Officer <u>Alan S. Bachman</u>							
day		re of violation: <u>Operator fail</u> ore expiration of previous permi		ewal application 120				
	Date	of termination:						
			Proposed <u>Assessment</u>	Final <u>Assessment</u>				
	(1)	History/Prev. Vio.	2	2				
	(2)	Seriousness	•					
		(a) Probability of Occurrence						
		Extent of Damage						
		(b) Hindrance to Enforcement	8	8				
	(3)	Negligence	12	12				
	(4)	Good Faith	0	0				
		TOTAL	22	22				
		en e	TOTAL ASSESSED FI	NE \$ <u>240</u>				

3. Narrative:

(Brief explanation for any changes made in assignment of points and any additional information that was available after the proposed assessment.)

Operator has no justification for missing the 120 day deadline. Such a violation impacts the Division's ability to schedule reviews.

0448Q

## WORKSHEET FOR FINAL ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES UTAH DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

	COMP	COMPANY/MINE Genwal Coal Co./Crandall Canyon NOV # 88-16-2-1						
	PERMIT # <u>ACT/015/032</u>			VIOLATIO	N <u>1</u> OF <u>1</u>			
	Assessment Date <u>4-13-88</u> Assessment Officer <u>Alan S. Bachman</u>							
Feb	Natu ruary	re of 4, 1	violation: <u>Operated fac</u> 988.		ermit on			
	Date	of t	ermination:					
				Proposed <u>Assessment</u>	Final <u>Assessment</u>			
	(1)	Hist	ory/Prev. Vio.		2			
	(2)	Seri	ousness					
		(a)	Probability of Occurrence	9				
			Extent of Damage					
		(b)	Hindrance to Enforcement	19	19			
	(3)	Negl	igence	5	3			
	(4)	Good	Faith	5	7			
			TOTAL	21	17			
				TOTAL ASSESSED FINE	\$_170			

## 3. Narrative:

(Brief explanation for any changes made in assignment of points and any additional information that was available after the proposed assessment.)

It appeared from the assessment conference that Genwal Coal Company believed it only needed the U. S. Forest Service approval. Nevertheless there was still some negligence in not communicating with the Division. Costs were incurred by the Division in a speedy review. Based on the testimony a slight reduction in the negligence points and an increase in the good faith points is warranted.